

GC-HS Method Limited Verification for Residual Solvents in TGF-001 (non-GMP)

Project	Pyronaridine_INV-054926
Compound	TGF-001
Purpose	Method Verification
Category	Methods
Substance Type	Drug Substance
Report ID	INV_054926_GC_V1 Version 1.0

Authors

Cai Alain (Alain)

Approvers

Bv:	Docusigned by: Hain Cai 9DCF20AFEA87456	Title:	AD Manager	Date:	1/21/2024	
By:	DocuSigned by: Q: 55362BFE31B84BD	Title:	Head of Technical	Develop Date:	men t /21/2024	

Distribution

Zhou Irvin, Pyronaridine (INV-054926) project team, Approvers

Table of contents

Objec	3	
Summ	nary and conclusion	3
Results	S	7
3.1. 3.2. 3.3. 3.4. 3.5. 3.6.	Specificity/ selectivity LOD/ LOQ Linearity Accuracy Repeatability Matrix effect	7 8 10 13 14 14
Experi	mental	15
4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.3.1. 4.3.2. 4.3.3.	GC-HS Equipment and reagents Solutions Diluent Blank Standard Solutions	15 15 16 16 16 16
	Object Summ Result 3.1. 3.2. 3.3. 3.4. 3.5. 3.6. Experi 4.1. 4.2. 4.3. 4.3.1. 4.3.2. 4.3.3.	Objective Summary and conclusion Results 3.1. Specificity/ selectivity 3.2. LOD/ LOQ 3.3. Linearity 3.4. Accuracy 3.5. Repeatability 3.6. Matrix effect Experimental 4.1. GC-HS 4.2. Equipment and reagents 4.3. Solutions 4.3.1. Diluent 4.3.2. Blank 4.3.3. Standard Solutions

Analytical DevelopmentINV 054926 GC V1 Version Error! Reference source not fc Intern

1. Objective

The residual solvents in TGF-001 are analyzed by the newly developed GC-HS method 'INV_054926_GC_M1'. In this document, the limited verification of the new GC-HS method shall be performed at non-GMP level.

Related reports:

INV_054926_GC_M1: GC-HS Method Description for Residual Solvents in TGF-001

2. Summary and conclusion

The method verification has been performed at non-GMP level. The following analytical parameters have been assessed:

- Specificity/ selectivity
- LOD
- LOQ
- Linearity
- Accuracy
- Repeatability
- Matrix effect

The obtained results are summarized in Table 1.

Analytical Development



 Table 1
 non-GMP verification of the new developed GC method for residual solvents in TGF-001

Parameter	Acceptance criteria	Results	Conformity	Remark
Specificity/ selectivity	No interference between the blank peaks and the components of interest in blanks.	No interference peaks in blanks.	Pass	See section 3.1
	All solvent peaks should be separated.	All solvent peaks are separated.		
LOD	S/N ≥ 3	S/N (methanol, 3ppm) = 10 S/N (ethanol, 5ppm) = 18 S/N (IPA, 5ppm) = 7 S/N (EA, 5ppm) = 13 S/N (THF, 1ppm) = 10	Pass	See section 3.2
LOQ	S/N ≥ 10 %RSD (3 injections) ≤ 30 %	S/N (methanol, 9ppm) = 17, 22, 13 S/N (ethanol, 15ppm) = 28, 37, 23 S/N (IPA, 15ppm) = 15, 20, 12 S/N (EA, 15ppm) = 27, 38, 22 S/N (THF, 2ppm) = 11, 16, 10 %RSD (methanol) = 1.6% %RSD (ethanol) = 5.1% %RSD (IPA) = 1.2% %RSD (EA) = 0.2% %RSD (IHF) = 4.4%	Pass	See section 3.2
Linearity	R ² ≥ 0.99, Report R ² , y intercept, slope, figure	Methanol: $R^2 = 0.9999$ y intercept = -0.0861 Slope = 14799 Ethanol: $R^2 = 1.0000$ y intercept = -0.0829 Slope = 16504 IPA: $R^2 = 1.0000$ y intercept = 0.02309 Slope = 20310	Pass	See section 3.3

Analytical Development



		EA:		
		$R^2 = 0.9999$		
		y intercept = 1.1153		
		Slope = 49719		
		THF:		
		R ² = 0.9999		
		v intercept = 0.0319		
		Slope = 79380		
Accuracy	$\nabla PSD \leq 100$ for each concentration level	Mothanol:	Pass	Soo soction 3.4
ACCUICCY	$\%$ K3D \leq 10% for each concentration level	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	r USS	366 SECTION 3.4
		%RSD (50%) = 0.1%		
		%RSD (100%) = 0.6%		
		%RSD (150%) = 0.2%		
		Ethanol:		
		%RSD (50%) = 0.2%		
		%RSD (100%) = 0.5%		
		%RSD (150%) = 0.2%		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		IPA:		
		% RSD (50%) = 0.2%		
		% RSD (100%) = 0.5%		
		$\sqrt{0000} = 0.3\%$		
		%RSD (150%) = 0.2%		
		%RSD (50%) = 0.4%		
		%RSD (100%) = 0.4%		
		%RSD (150%) = 0.3%		
		THF:		
		%RSD (50%) = 0.4%		
		%RSD (100%) = 0.4%		
		%RSD (150%) = 0.3%		
Repeatability (with	%RSD (n=6) ≤ 10%	%RSD (methanol) = 0.4%	Pass	See section 3.5
Matrix)				
		%RSD (ethanol) = 0.4%		

Analytical Development



		%RSD (IPA) = 0.3%		
		%RSD (EAI) = 0.3%		
		%RSD (THF) = 0.4%		
Matrix effect	Report Matrix effect (M)	M (methanol) = 1.00 (1.11)	Pass	See section 3.6
		M (ethanol) = 1.00 (1.05)		
		M (IPA) = 1.00 (1.06)		
		M (EA) = 1.00 (1.05)		
		M (THF) = 1.00 (1.03)		
		If $0.80 \le M \le 1.20$, the matrix is considered as analytical irrelevant, and M is defined as 1.00		



Analytical DevelopmentINV_054926 GC V1 Version Error! Reference source not found.

3. Results

3.1. Specificity/ selectivity

Specificity/ selectivity was performed using a mixture of solvents i.e.: methanol, ethanol, IPA, EA and THF.

There is no interference between the blank peaks and the components of interest. All solvent peaks are separated.



Figure 1 Example GC-HS chromatogram of blank, method INV_054926_GC_M1, FID





3.2. LOD/LOQ

LOD and LOQ were performed using a mixture of solvents i.e.: methanol, ethanol, IPA, EA and THF. The signal to noise is calculated for peaks of methanol (10), ethanol (18), IPA (7), EA (13) and THF (10) in LOD solution. The signal to noise is calculated for peaks of methanol (17, 22, 13), ethanol (28, 37, 23), IPA (15, 20, 12), EA (27, 38, 22) and THF (11, 16, 10) in LOQ solutions.

The %RSD of peak area in LOQ solutions (n=3) is found to be 1.6%, 5.1%, 1.2%, 0.2% and 4.4% for methanol, ethanol, IPA, EA and THF respectively.

All results meet the acceptance criteria.

	Area counts [pA]					
Reference solution 2	Methanol	Ethanol	IPA	EA	THF	
	(9 ppm)	(15 ppm)	(15 ppm)	(15 ppm)	(2 ppm)	
Inj. 1	0.8506	2.3459	1.4880	4.1579	1.6897	
Inj. 2	0.8238	2.1636	1.5174	4.1735	1.6546	
Inj. 3	0.8363	2.3849	1.5212	4.1663	1.7983	
Average	0.8369	2.2981	1.5089	4.1659	1.7142	
STDEV	0.0134	0.1181	0.0182	0.0078	0.0749	
%RSD	1.6%	5.1%	1.2%	0.2%	4.4%	
Acceptance criteria	≤ 30%					

Table 2	%RSD results for 3 injections of LOQ solutions





Signal:	FID1A							
RT [min]	Peak Signal To Noise	Name	Туре	Width [min]	Area	Height	Area%	Peak Signal To Noise
1.930	9.979 61	MeOH	MM m	0.0894	0.4127	0.1930	8.6684	9.98
2.580	18.489 66	EtOH	MM m	0.1478	1.2145	0.3575	25.5088	18.49
3.179	6.797 34	IPA	MM m	0.1174	0.5752	0.1314	12.0819	6.80
5.870	12.936 96	EA	MM m	0.1733	1.4616	0.2501	30.6984	12.94
6.203	9.716 10	THF	MM m	0.2104	1.0971	0.1879	23.0425	9.72

Analytical DevelopmentINV_054926_GC_V1 Version Error! Reference source not foun



			tune found						
Signal:	FID1A								
RT [min]	Peak Signal To Noise	Name	Туре	Width [min]	Area	Height	Area%	Peak Signal To Noise	
1.924	16.648 77	MeOH	MM m	0.1355	0.8506	0.3994	8.0760	16.65	
2.577	27.987 76	EtOH	MM m	0.2208	2.3459	0.6714	22.2737	27.99	
3.171	14.560 10	IPA	MM m	0.1363	1.4880	0.3493	14.1281	14.56	

0.2285

0.2309

4.1579

1.6897

0.6484

0.2703

39.4789

16.0433 11.27

27.03

MM m

MM m

EA

THF

Figure 4 Example chromatogram of signal to noise calculation for LOQ solution.

5.864 27.026

6.197 11.265

16

49



3.3. Linearity

Linearity was performed using a mixture of solvents i.e.: methanol, ethanol, IPA, EA and THF from 5% to 150% of each ICH limit concentration.

All results are reported in Table 3, and meet the acceptance criteria. Detailed results are listed in Table 4.

Table 3	Results for	linearity o	f each	n solvent	ŀ.

Items	Result	Acceptance criteria				
Methanol	·					
R ²	0.9999	≥ 0.99				
Slope	14799	report				
y intercept	-0.0861	report				
Ethanol						
R ²	1.0000	≥ 0.99				
Slope	16504	report				
y intercept	-0.0829	report				
IPA						
R ²	1.0000	≥ 0.99				
Slope	20310	report				
y intercept	0.2309	report				
EA						
R ²	0.9999	≥ 0.99				
Slope	49719	report				
y intercept	1.1153	report				
THF						
R ²	0.9999	≥ 0.99				
Slope	79380	report				
y intercept	0.0319	report				

 Table 4
 Concentration and area counts of linearity for each solvent

Methanol		Ethanol		IPA		EA		THF	
Conc. mg/mL	Area counts [pA]								
0.0007	11.0994	0.0012	20.621	0.0012	25.6206	0.0013	62.0951	0.0002	14.0027
0.0015	21.9651	0.0025	40.6134	0.0025	50.3412	0.0025	123.011 5	0.0004	28.0361
0.0037	55.035	0.0062	102.587 3	0.0062	126.544 4	0.0063	309.687 6	0.0009	70.5281
0.0075	111.787 5	0.0125	208.354 4	0.0125	256.248 5	0.0125	635.049 6	0.0018	145.867
0.0150	219.944 8	0.0250	410.027 8	0.0250	505.077 6	0.0251	1244.44 15	0.0036	285.513 2
0.0225	332.960 2	0.0375	619.271 3	0.0375	761.637	0.0376	1869.33 75	0.0054	428.665 7

Analytical DevelopmentINV_054926_GC_V1 Version Error! Reference source not found









PHT International Inc.

Analytical DevelopmentINV_054926_GC_V1 Version Error! Reference source not foun





PHT Analytics

3.4. Accuracy

Accuracy was performed using a mixture of solvents i.e.: methanol, ethanol, IPA, EA and THF at concentration level of 50%, 100%, 150% of each ICH limit.

All results are reported in Table 5, and meet the acceptance criteria.

Table 5Results for accuracy of each solvent.

each conc. level	Area counts [pA]	Average	STDEV	%RSD	Acceptance criteria			
Methanol								
50% prep. 1	111.7875							
50% prep. 2	111.5988	111.6364	0.1363	0.1%	≤ 10%			
50% prep. 3	111.5228							
100% prep. 1	219.9448							
100% prep. 2	220.3954	220.9322	1.3391	0.6%	≤ 10%			
100% prep. 3	222.4564							
150% prep. 1	332.9602							
150% prep. 2	333.8202	333.1583	0.5884	0.2%	≤ 10%			
150% prep. 3	332.6945							
Ethanol								
50% prep. 1	208.3544							
50% prep. 2	207.5504	207.8735	0.4246	0.2%	≤ 10%			
50% prep. 3	207.7156							
100% prep. 1	410.0278							
100% prep. 2	409.8844	411.1161	2.0104	0.5%	≤ 10%			
100% prep. 3	413.4360							
150% prep. 1	619.2713							
150% prep. 2	621.2628	620.0606	1.0580	0.2%	≤ 10%			
150% prep. 3	619.6477							
IPA	1		r	r				
50% prep. 1	256.2485							
50% prep. 2	256.1287	255.9286	0.4544	0.2%	≤ 10%			
50% prep. 3	255.4085							
100% prep. 1	505.0776							
100% prep. 2	505.0767	506.4222	2.3296	0.5%	≤ 10%			
100% prep. 3	509.1122							
150% prep. 1	761.6370							
150% prep. 2	764.4807	762.8830	1.4541	0.2%	≤ 10%			
150% prep. 3	762.5312							
EA	Γ		I	I	ſ			
50% prep. 1	635.0496							
50% prep. 2	630.6710	632.2529	2.4289	0.4%	≤ 10%			
50% prep. 3	631.0382							
100% prep. 1	1244.4415							
100% prep. 2	1242.4844	1246.2156	4.8672	0.4%	≤ 10%			
100% prep. 3	1251.7210							
150% prep. 1	1869.3375							
150% prep. 2	1879.5099	1873.4441	5.3617	0.3%	≤ 10%			
150% prep. 3	1871.4849							
THF								



Analytical DevelopmentINV_054926_GC_V1 Version Error! Reference source not foun

50% prep. 1	150.3150				
50% prep. 2	149.2140	149.6516	0.5842	0.4%	≤ 10%
50% prep. 3	149.4259				
100% prep. 1	289.9612				
100% prep. 2	289.2100	290.1544	1.0544	0.4%	≤ 10%
100% prep. 3	291.2920				
150% prep. 1	433.1137				
150% prep. 2	435.2178	433.5752	1.4674	0.3%	≤ 10%
150% prep. 3	432.3940				

3.5. Repeatability

Repeatability was performed using a mixture of solvent i.e.: methanol, ethanol, IPA, EA and THF at ICH limit concentration level spiked into 100% TGF-001.

All results are reported in Table 6, and meet the acceptance criteria (%RSD \le 10%).

Methanol		Ethanol		IPA		EA		THF	
No.	Area, pA	No.	Area, pA	No.	Area, pA	No.	Area, pA	No.	Area, pA
Inj. 1	245.6622	Inj. 1	431.0253	Inj. 1	538.9318	Inj. 1	1305.9106	Inj. 1	300.2533
Inj. 2	243.9681	Inj. 2	428.0942	Inj. 2	535.5121	Inj. 2	1299.6952	Inj. 2	298.2147
Inj. 3	246.4645	Inj. 3	432.7361	Inj. 3	541.0668	Inj. 3	1310.7249	Inj. 3	300.9556
Inj. 4	245.8587	Inj. 4	431.34	Inj. 4	538.7995	Inj. 4	1301.913	Inj. 4	299.2242
Inj. 5	245.5897	Inj. 5	430.926	Inj. 5	539.2093	Inj. 5	1309.6264	Inj. 5	300.8996
Inj. 6	246.5531	Inj. 6	431.7048	Inj. 6	539.608	Inj. 6	1305.2403	Inj. 6	299.7090
AVG	245.6827	AVG	430.9711	AVG	538.8546	AVG	1305.5184	AVG	299.8761
STDV	0.9326	STDV	1.5538	STDV	1.8313	STDV	1.0553	STDV	1.0553
RSD%	0.4%	RSD%	0.4%	RSD%	0.3%	RSD%	0.3%	RSD%	0.4%

Table 6Results for repeatability for each solvent

3.6. Matrix effect

The matrix effect was evaluated based on the comparison between solvents with and without matrix at ICH limit concentration level.

 Table 7
 Calculation of matrix effect for each solvent

Solvent	Methanol	Ethanol	IPA	EA	THF
Average peak area of 3 injections without matrix (from accuracy test)	220.9322	430.9711	506.4222	1246.2156	290.1544
Average peak area of 6 injections with matrix (from repeatability test)	245.6827	411.1161	538.8546	1305.5184	299.8761
M	1.11	1.05	1.06	1.05	1.03

Analytical DevelopmentINV 054926 GC V1 Version Error! Reference source not fc

4. Experimental

4.1. GC-HS

GC-HS System:

GC (Agilent 7890B services) Headspace (Agilent 7697A services) Open Lab CDS-control and integration software or equivalent

GC conditions:

•

- GC column: DB-624, 30m length x 0.53 mm internal diameter, 3.0 µm film
- Inlet temperature: 200°C
- Split ratio:
- Carrier gas:
- Column flow: 5.0 mL/min (constant flow)

10:1

Nitrogen

- Detector temp.: 260°C (FID)
- H2 flow: 40 mL/min
- Air flow: 350 mL/min
- Makeup flow: 25 mL/min (Nitrogen)
- Injection Volume: 1000µL
- Run time: 20.4 min

Headspace conditions:

- Oven temperature: 100°C
- Loop temperature: 110°C
- Transfer line temp.: 120°C
- Inlet Temp: 230°C
- Vial equilibration: 30 min
- Injection time: 0.5 min
- GC cycle time: 35 min
- Fill pressure: 3 psi

Temperature Gradient:

Temp (°C)	Rate (°C/min)	Hold (min)		
40	0	5		
120	8	15		
240	50	20.4		

4.2. Equipment and reagents

- Balance: Mettler Toledo XP56
- DMSO: GC grade, Sigma-Aldrich
- Methanol: GC grade, Merck
- Ethanol: GC grade, Merck
- Isopropanol: GC grade, Merck
- Ethyl acetate: GC grade, Merck
- THF: GC grade, Merck
- Glassware: 20, 25-mL volumetric flasks, 1L graduated cylinders
- Pipette: 1.0 mL Pipette



4.3.1. Diluent

DMSO.

4.3.2. Blank

Pipette 1.0mL of diluent into headspace vials and seal each vial securely.

4.3.3. Standard Solutions

> Specificity/ selectivity and Repeatability

Accurately weigh approx.50 mg of TGF-001 reference standard into a 20-mL into headspace vials. Pipette 1.0mL of 100% linearity Solution into the headspace vials. Seal each vial securely.

Linearity and accuracy

Stock Standard Solution (2000%):

Accurately weigh approx. 2.5 of EtOH,2.5g of IPA ,2.5g of EA ,1.5g of MeOH ,0.36gTHF into a 25mL volumetric flask. Dissolve and dilute to volume with diluent.

Transfer 1 mL above solution into a 20 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with diluent and mix well.

150% linearity Solution:

Transfer 1.5 mL stock standard solution into a 20 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with diluent. Pipette 1.0mL into of this solution into headspace vials and seal each vial securely.

100% linearity Solution:

Transfer 1.0 mL stock standard solution into a 20 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with diluent. Pipette 1.0mL into of this solution into headspace vials and seal each vial securely.

50% linearity Solution:

Transfer 0.5 mL stock standard solution into a 20 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with diluent. Pipette 1.0mL into of this solution into headspace vials and seal each vial securely.

25% linearity Solution:

Transfer 2.5 mL 100% linearity Solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with diluent. Pipette 1.0mL into of this solution into headspace vials and seal each vial securely.

10% linearity Solution:

Transfer 1.0 mL 100% linearity Solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with diluent. Pipette 1.0mL into of this solution into headspace vials and seal each vial securely.

5% linearity Solution:

Transfer 1 mL 25% linearity solution into a 5 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent. Pipette 1.0mL into of this solution into headspace vials and seal each vial securely.

LOD and LOQ

Analytical DevelopmentINV 054926 GC V1 Version Error! Reference source not fc

Transfer 1 mL of above 10% linearity solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent and mix well.

LOQ Solution (0.3% of ICH limit concentration for each solvent):

Transfer 1.5 mL 1% linearity solution into a 5 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent. Pipette 1.0mL into of this solution into headspace vials and seal each vial securely.

LOQ Solution (0.1% of ICH limit concentration for each solvent):

Transfer 1.0 mL 1% linearity solution into a 10 mL volumetric flask. Fill up to volume with sample diluent. Pipette 1.0mL into of this solution into headspace vials and seal each vial securely.